

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT**

Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams – Appeal Petition filed by Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams u/s 120 (ii) of Act 30/1987 – Allowed – orders - Issued.

REVENUE (ENDOWMENTS.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 450

Dated:30-03-2013

Read the following:

1. T.T.D. Specified Authority, Res.No.139, dt:26-5-2011
2. From E.O., T.T.D. Proceedings Roc.No.B2/17088/2009, dt:20-6-2011.
3. Appeal Petition filed by Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook, TTD dated:02.09.2011.
4. From the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati Lr.Roc.No.B8/18598/2011, dt.02-12-2011.

ORDER:

Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams has filed an appeal before Government u/s.120 (ii) of Act 30/1987 against the orders of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Specified Authority.

2. The A.V. & S.O., TTD., Tirumala in his report dated 02.05.2003 reported that on 29.04.2003 at about 10.00 AM Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook(appellant) along with two pilgrims entered through VQC-I main gate by showing his identity card with an intension to join them in Rs.50/- Q-Line. The SPF Constable Sri V.Muniprasad questioned him and asked him to come in line. It was also reported that, the appellant then misbehaved with the SPF Constable for not allowing them. He has further reported that the appellant duty post was at VQC-II, but he deserted his duty post and tried to arrange quick Darshan to the pilgrim party for his self gain and so requested to initiate Disciplinary action against him.

3. Based on the report of the AV & SO, TTD Tirumala, Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook was placed under suspension vide Memo. dated 17.05.2003.

4. The AEO (SVCC), Tirumala was appointed as Inquiry Officer. He conducted inquiry as per CCA Rules. Recorded the statements of all the relevant witnesses and appellant and submitted his inquiry report and findings.

5. Taking all the facts of the case, a show cause notice proposing the punishment of compulsory retirement was issued to the appellant Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook, vide proc. dated 05.02.2004. The appellant submitted a representation dated 16.02.2004 requesting to provide him personal hearing before the Executive Officer. Accordingly, he was provided personal hearing before the E.O. TTD. The E.O. on 16.03.2004 heard the appellant in person.

6. After careful consideration of the case in detail, the punishment of Compulsory Retirement as proposed in the show cause notice was reduced to that of stoppage of 1(one) increment with cumulative effect to the appellant vice Proc. No.DA4/12277/2003, dated 18.03.2004.

Contd...2.

7. Aggrieved by the above, the Appellant filed an Appeal before the TTD Board u/s. 120 (i) of Act 30 of 1987.

8. Accordingly, the sub-committee on Appeals, after hearing the Appellant in its Resolution No.38, dated 22.12.2010 recommended as follows:-

“Heard the Appellant. Perused the records. A lenient view has already been taken by the Disciplinary Authority in reducing the punishment from compulsory retirement to that of stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect. Hence, the appeal may be rejected”.

9. The specified Authority in its Res.No.139, dated 26.05.2011 has approved the recommendations of the sub-committee on Appeals. The same was informed to the Appellant vide Progs. dated 20.06.2011.

10. Aggrieved by the above, the Appellant filed an Appeal before the Govt. u/s. 120 (ii) of Act 30 of 1987 with a prayer to allow the appeal through out to set aside the orders of the E.O. TTD., Proc. dated 18.03.2004 and the orders of the Board of Trustees, TTD Progs. dated 26.06.2011 and to drop further action on the charges and grant consequential relief of treating the suspension period as one on duty.

11. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati who has been consulted in the matter has furnished the connected records in the matter vide reference 4th read above.

12. The case was taken up for hearing on 06.02.2013. The appellant appeared in person. The Deputy Executive Officer, Balaji Bhavan, Hyderabad has attended on behalf of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams.

13. The Government after hearing the arguments and perusing the connected records, hereby withdraw the stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect by warning the appellant to be cautious in future in discharging the official duties. Accordingly, the appeal petition is allowed and the case is disposed.

14. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati shall take further necessary action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**M.G.GOPAL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
Sri V.Rama Murthy Naidu, Cook, S.V.Poor Home,
Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati **thro' the E.O. TTD.**
The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati.
Copy to:
OSD to Min. (Endts.).
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER